ISSN: 2345-2897; Health Education and Health Promotion. 2023;11(1):3-10.





# Self-care and Standard Precaution Observance in Intensive Care Nurses during COVID 19







#### ARTICLE INFO

# Article Type

Descriptive Study

#### Authors

Nabi Foodani M.<sup>1</sup> *MSc,* Mohamadnejad Ostad F.<sup>2</sup> *MSc,* Navab E.<sup>3</sup> *PhD,* Bahrampouri S.<sup>3</sup> *PhD,* Abbasi Dolatabadi Z.\*<sup>1</sup> *PhD* 

#### How to cite this article

Nabi Foodani M, Mohamadnejad Ostad F, Navab E, Bahrampouri S, Abbasi Dolatabadi Z. Self-care and Standard Precaution Observance in Intensive Care Nurses during COVID 19. Health Education and Health Promotion. 2023;11(1):3-10.

#### ABSTRACT

**Aims** Self-care behaviors and standard precautions are important for maintaining nurses' health. This research aimed to evaluate the relationship between nurses' self-care and standard precaution observance in intensive care unit during COVID-19.

**Instruments & Methods** This descriptive-analytical research was conducted on the ICU nurses at Mashhad University of Medical Sciences. A total of 140 nurses were included in the research through convenience sampling. The data were collected using demographic survey questions, Self-Care Inventory-Revised (SCI-R), and Compliance with Standard Precautions Scale (CSPS). **Findings** The greatest self-care means among the participants was in the professional dimension (40.1±4.41), and the lowest means of self-care was in the psychological dimension (26.97±3.55). The highest and lowest score of standard precautions was 20 and 3, respectively. The average precaution observance was 13.99±2.931. There was a positive and significant correlation between self-care and observance of standard precautions of nurses (r=0.224; p=0.008).

**Conclusion** Nurses in intensive care units in COVID-19 pandemic pay little attention to their self-care. Self-care in the professional dimension receives relative attention, and in the psychological dimension, receives the least attention. The standard precautions in COVID-19 pandemic is not at an acceptable level, and there is a direct and positive correlation between self-care and observing standard precautions.

**Keywords** Covid-19; Epidemics; Intensive Care; Infectious Diseases; Nurse; Self-Care; Personal Protective Equipment

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Surgical Nursing, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Department of Anesthesiology, School of Nursing, North Khorasan University of Medical Sciences, Boinurd. Iran

<sup>3</sup>Department of Critical Care Nursing, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

# \*Correspondence

Address: School of Nursing and Midwifery, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Dr Mirkhani Street, Tehran, Iran. Postal Code: 1419733171 Phone: +98 (21) 61054000 Fax: +98 (21) 66904252 zahra\_abasi2000@gmail.com

#### Article History

Received: July 22, 2022 Accepted: January 1, 2023 ePublished: January 30, 2023

#### CITATION LINKS

[1] Investigating the impacts of information ... [2] A qualitative investigation ... [3] Intensive care nurse ... [4] Which preventive measures ... [5] Self-care instructions ... [6] Self-care: A concept ... [7] Factors that influence health-promoting ... [8] Nursing and the novel ... [9] Validity and reliability ... [10] Evaluation of compliance with ... [11] Factors influencing nurses' compliance ... [12] Impact on mental health and ... [13] Self – care strategies and its relationship ... [14] Prevalence of Coronavirus Disease-2019 ... [15] Land subsidence in Mashhad ... [16] Mashhad also went ... [17] ICN says worldwide death toll ... [18] Self-care for caring practice-promoting ... [19] Evaluating self-care behaviors ... [20] Self-care behaviors and influential ... [21] Compliance with standard precautions ... [22] Compliance with infection ... [23] Compliance with the ... [24] Occupational risks and adherence ...

Copyright© 2023, the Authors | Publishing Rights, ASPI. This open-access article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License which permits Share (copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format) and Adapt (remix, transform, and build upon the material) under the Attribution-NonCommercial terms.

#### Introduction

As a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, health care providers are today facing numerous obstacles and pressures. A substantial proportion of individuals with COVID-19 seek medical help in places, including hospitals, for a variety of life-threatening symptoms and issues. Staff shortages, limited personal protective equipment, out-of-role tasks, and worrying virus-related problems are some of the challenges in the health system [1]. One of the things that health system officials and members of the treatment team consider in these instances is protecting front-line healthcare staff [2]. Meanwhile, it is critical to safeguard the professional team that is responsible for giving care to patients who have been brought to the ICU (Intensive Care Unit). These staff, especially nurses, have the necessary knowledge, skills and experience to give critical care to their patients in stressful and difficult situations, using advanced equipment and critical thinking to save their lives [3]. As a result, one of the most significant steps in the management of the COVID-19 pandemic is to pay attention to sustaining and promoting human health resources in this area [2]. The nurses in the intensive care unit have the most exposure to the most severe and infected patients with COVID-19 because they spend the most time at the patient's bedside and perform procedures like suction, intubation, and cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Also, their work environment is one of the most polluted parts of the hospital. As a result, one of the pillars of medical services during the COVID-19 pandemic is the protection of nurses [4].

Individuals' self-care practices have a role in protecting and safeguarding personnel, especially nurses working in ICU in these situations. Self-care is a technique in which people use their knowledge, skills, and talents to enhance their health [5]. Physical, psychological, spiritual, emotional, and professional aspects of self-care can be used to examine self-care [6]. A healthy diet, exercise, a healthy lifestyle, organizational culture, and protection against occupational dangers such as biological and psychosocial hazards are all examples of nursing selfcare to support their health [7]. Observing standard precautions is a part of ICU nurses' self-care to boost their safety during professional tasks [8]. The standard precautions are based on the most recent guidelines on self-care principles against occupational dangers, including infection management. While providing health treatment, basic precautions are done to reduce the risk of transmission of blood-borne diseases and other dangerous microbes [9]. Hand cleaning, the use of

personal protective equipment (gloves, masks, goggles, gown) while performing procedures in close contact with the patient, and respiratory care with the creation of respiratory aerosols are all standard precautions for infectious diseases [10].

On the other hand, standard precautions are not always embraced by the nurses in ICUs setting and are impacted by several variables. In a study conducted in Cyprus on the factors affecting the observance of standard precautions among nurses, the lack of protective equipment and emergencies and enough time to use the equipment, and inefficient efficiency while performing procedures using protective equipment have been noted as barriers to standard precautions by nurses [11]. In addition, selfcare is different among nurses in various fields and has not been considered by nurses to an acceptable level. Kong et al. showed that a significant proportion of healthcare providers in Wuhan developed mental health disorders during the epidemic. Support for this view is essential for staff, and self-care is not well done by nurses in this regard [12]. Jahangiri showed that nurses are at a low level in self-care [13].

COVID-19 affected the world, including Iran, which experienced six waves of patients up to November 10, 2021, according to available statistics. The above conditions have been more severe in some cities of the country due to their special characteristics compared to other parts. For example, Mashhad is one of the religious tourist cities of Iran [14].

Mashhad is a metropolis in northeastern Iran and the capital of Khorasan Razavi province, which is the second-largest city in Iran after Tehran [15]. Since the official announcement of the COVID-19 outbreak in the country, Mashhad has been declared five times a high-risk city in terms of infection and death [16]. Due to the specific pattern of the COVID-19 pandemic in Iran, the nurses working in ICUs get tired, and most of them get the disease at least once [17]. It is necessary to examine the various aspects of the activities of this group of nurses who specialize in this phenomenon in terms of self-care and standard precautions while caring for patients with COVID-19 due to their specialties and their special working setting for future action. Therefore, the present study aimed to examine the self-care and standard precaution observance in intensive care nurses during COVID-19.

# **Instruments and Methods**

This descriptive-analytical study was conducted in Mashhad in 2020-2021. The sample size was calculated using the correlation analysis method in G\*Power software version 3.1, taking into account

Nabi Foodani *et al.* 

the type 1 error of 5% and the power of the test at 80%. The minimum correlation level assumed is 0.3, which is a weak correlation level and results in calculating a high sample size. A total of 140 nurses were included in the study by the convenient sampling method. The inclusion criteria were willingness to participate in the study, nurses with at least a bachelor's degree, 6 months of experience in the intensive care unit before the onset of the COVID-19 outbreak, at least one month of activity in the intensive care unit during COVID-19 outbreak. The exclusion criterion was the change of the research unit during the research process. The study area was the teaching-therapeutic hospitals of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, which were the hospitalization centre for COVID-19 patients.

The data were collected using three tools, including demographic survey questions, Self-Care Inventory-Revised (SCI-R), and Compliance with Standard Precautions Scale (CSPS).

The first tool was used to collect the data on age, sex, marital status, work experience in hospital, intensive care unit, and intensive care unit of Centre COVID, work experience in the private sector, level of education, employment status, shift type, history of affecting by COVID-19, quality, quantity, and place of personal protective equipment in each shift.

Self-Care Inventory-Revised (SCI-R) measures five dimensions of self-care (physical, spiritual, psychological, emotional, and professional). The scoring scale in this tool in each of the five dimensions was a 5-point Likert scale, and the answers to the questions were repeated from "frequently" to "it never happened to me". The answers of "frequently", "occasionally", "rarely", "never", and "it never happened to me" had 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1 scores, respectively. The physical, psychological, emotional, professional, and spiritual self-care included 10, 7, 8, 10, and 8 questions. Therefore, this questionnaire consisted of 43 questions. The lowest score was 43, and the highest score was 215. The higher score indicates better self-

Compliance Standard Precautions Scale (CSPS) consisted of 20 questions. Out of 20 questions, 16 were positive, and four were negative (2, 4, 6, and 15), and answers to the questions were on a four-point Likert scale from "never" to "always". For 16 positive questions, only the answer "always" was given 1 point, the rest of the option was given 0 points, and for 4 negative questions, if the answer was "never", 1 point was given, and the other options were given 0 points. The highest and lowest scores in

this tool were 0-20. The higher score showed the more standard indications.

Validity of the above tools was determined by the content validity method after translating. Then, the tool was provided to 10 experts in this field, and their opinions were applied to the tool. The study tools were provided to 20 nurses in the COVID-19 Intensive Care Unit, and questionnaires were completed to determine the reliability of self-care tools after obtaining informed written consent. Then, the questionnaires were given to the same people again after two weeks, and the questionnaires were completed for reliability. Pearson coefficient was calculated as 0.86.

In this study, participants were not included in the sampling process to determine the tool's reliability. The questionnaires were provided to the research units in the form of Google Forms. The paper version of the tool was provided to the research units based on their willingness. The collected data were entered into SPSS 20 software and statistically analyzed after testing their normal distribution using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Parametric and nonparametric tests, including mean and standard deviation, simple regression, independent t-test, Kruskal Wallis test, and Mann-Whitney test, were used. P<0.05 was considered significant.

# **Findings**

The mean age of the nurses was  $32.34\pm5.977$  years, of whom 62.1% were female, and 37.9% were male. In addition, 67% of the participating personnel were married, and 89.3% of the participants had a bachelor's degree. The night shift, with a frequency of 82 (58.6%), was the more common shift type, and was evening shift, with a frequency of 6 (4.3%), was the least frequent shift type (Table 1).

The highest self-care of the participants was in the professional dimension, with a mean of  $40.10\pm4.41$ . (Table 2). The mean score of self-care in participants with a history of 16-20 years was higher than other participants. Moreover, the analysis of variance showed a significant difference between different groups in self-care according to the work experience in the hospital (p=0.039).

The highest and lowest scores of standard precautions were 20 and 3, and its mean score was 13.99±2.931, which indicates that the standard precautions were not at an acceptable level.

The mean rank of standard precautions in the night shift was higher than other shifts, with a mean of  $88.91\pm3.23$ . The observed difference between the four mean scores based on the Kruskal-Wallis Test was significant (p=0.048), which indicates that the observance of standard precautions was different according to the shift

type, and the standard precautions were higher in night shift participants.

**Table 1)** Univariate analysis between sociodemographic variables, self-care and standard precautions observance

Socio-demoghraphic variables   Number   Percent   Self-care   Seare   Seare				Significance level				
Male Female         53         37.9         0.308 <sup>ε</sup> 0.113 β           Marital status         Married         94         67.1           Single         43         30.7         0.917 ε         0.695 ξ           Divorced         3         2.1         2.1           Education         Bachelor         125         89.3         0.100 ξ         0.297 β           Hospital Ghaem         34         24.3         1.1         0.523 ξ         0.089 ξ           Shariati         31         22.1         0.523 ξ         0.089 ξ           Shariati         31         22.1         0.523 ξ         0.089 ξ           Work experience (Year)         0.5         63         45.0         66-11         0.039 ξ         0.855 ξ           0-5         63         45.0         66-11         0.039 ξ         0.855 ξ           11-15         17         12.1         0.039 ξ         0.855 ξ           12-25         1         0.7         0.692 ξ         0.046 ξ           26-30         2         1.4         0.692 ξ         0.046 ξ           Shift type         0.000         0.000 ξ         0.000 ξ         0.000 ξ           Work experience in the sp	Socio-demoghraphic variables	Number	Percent	Self- care	precautions			
Female         87         62.1         0.308 <sup>±</sup> 0.113 β           Marital status         Married         94         67.1           Single         43         30.7         0.917 ε         0.695 γ           Divorced         3         2.1         2.1           Education           Bachelor         125         89.3         0.100 ε         0.297 β           Master         15         10.7         0.100 ε         0.297 β           Hospital         66         46.4         0.523 ε         0.089 γ           Shariati         31         22.1         0.523 ε         0.089 γ           Shariati         31         22.1         0.523 ε         0.089 γ           Hashminejad         10         7.1         7.1         7.1           Work experience (Year)         0.523 ε         0.089 γ         0.089 γ           11-15         17         12.1         0.039 ε         0.855 γ           6-11         46         29.3         11-15         17         12.1           16-20         16         11.4         0.039 ε         0.855 γ           26-30         2         1.4         0.4	Sex							
Marital status         Marital status           Married         94         67.1           Single         43         30.7         0.917 ε         0.695 ξ           Divorced         3         2.1           Education         Bachelor         125         89.3         0.100 ξ         0.297 β           Master         15         10.7         0.100 ξ         0.297 β         0.297 β           Hospital         Ghaem         34         24.3         0.523 ξ         0.089 ξ           Hashminejad         10         7.1         0.523 ξ         0.089 ξ         0.089 ξ           Shariati         31         22.1         0.523 ξ         0.089 ξ         0.089 ξ           Work experience (Year)         0.5         63         45.0         66-11         0.039 ξ         0.855 ξ           1-15         17         12.1         0.039 ξ         0.855 ξ         0.855 ξ           21-25         1         0.7         0.039 ξ         0.855 ξ           31-4         17.1         0.692 ξ         0.046 ξ         0.046 ξ           31-4         17.1         0.692 ξ         0.046 ξ         0.046 ξ         0.046 ξ         0.046 ξ         0.046 ξ         0.04				U 3U8£	0.1138			
Married         94         67.1           Single         43         30.7         0.917 ε         0.695 €           Divorced         3         2.1         0.695 €           Education         Bachelor         125         89.3         0.100 €         0.297 €           Master         15         10.7         0.100 €         0.297 €         0.297 €           Hospital         34         24.3         0.100 €         0.297 €         0.297 €           Hospital         34         24.3         0.100 €         0.297 €         0.297 €           Hospital         34         24.3         0.523 €         0.089 €         0.089 €           Shariati         31         22.1         0.523 €         0.089 €         0.089 €           Work experience         (Year)         0.523 €         0.089 €         0.089 €         0.089 €           6-11         46         29.3         0.039 €         0.855 €         0.855 €           16-11.4         12.1         0.039 €         0.855 €         0.855 €           16-20         16         11.4         0.039 €         0.855 €           21-25         1         0.7         0.692 €         0.046 € <td></td> <td>87</td> <td>62.1</td> <td>0.300-</td> <td colspan="2">0.113 P</td>		87	62.1	0.300-	0.113 P			
Single 43 30.7 $0.917^{ε}$ $0.695^{γ}$ Divorced 3 2.1  Education  Bachelor 125 89.3 Master 15 10.7 $0.100^{ε}$ $0.297^{β}$ Hospital Ghaem 34 24.3 Imam Reza 65 46.4 Shariati 31 22.1 Hashminejad 10 7.1  Work experience (Year) 0-5 63 45.0 6-11 46 29.3 11-15 17 12.1 16-20 16 11.4 21-25 1 0.7 26-30 2 1.4  Shift type Morning 24 17.1 Evening 6 4.3 Night 28 20 In circulation 82 58.6  Work experience in the special ward of COVID-19 (Month) 1-3 40 28.6 4-6 43 30.7 $0.268^{ε}$ $0.282^{γ}$ The condition of being infected with COVID-19								
Divorced   3								
Education           Bachelor         125         89.3         0.100 <sup>ε</sup> 0.297 <sup>β</sup> Master         15         10.7         0.100 <sup>ε</sup> 0.297 <sup>β</sup> Hospital Ghaem         34         24.3         34         24.3         0.523 <sup>ε</sup> 0.089 <sup>ψ</sup> Imam Reza         65         46.4         4.4         0.523 <sup>ε</sup> 0.089 <sup>ψ</sup> 0.089 <sup>ψ</sup> Shariati         31         22.1         2.1         2.2         1.2         0.089 <sup>ψ</sup> 0.0855 <sup>ψ</sup> 0.085				0.917ε	0.695¥			
Bachelor         125         89.3         0.100 <sup>ε</sup> 0.297β           Master         15         10.7         0.100 <sup>ε</sup> 0.297β           Hospital           Ghaem         34         24.3           Imam Reza         65         46.4         0.523 <sup>ε</sup> 0.089 <sup>ψ</sup> Shariati         31         22.1         1           Hashminejad         10         7.1         7.1           Work experience (Year)         0.523 <sup>ε</sup> 0.089 <sup>ψ</sup> 6-11         46         29.3           11-15         17         12.1           16-20         16         11.4         0.039 <sup>ε</sup> 0.855 <sup>ψ</sup> 11-15         17         12.1         0.039 <sup>ε</sup> 0.855 <sup>ψ</sup> 26-30         2         1.4         Noning         24         17.1           Evening         6         4.3         0.692 <sup>ε</sup> 0.046 <sup>ψ</sup> Night         28         20         0.046 <sup>ψ</sup> In circulation         82         58.6           Work experience in the special ward of COVID-19 (Month)         1-3         40         28.6           4-6         43         30.7 <t< td=""><td></td><td>3</td><td>2.1</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></t<>		3	2.1					
Master         15         10.7         0.100 €         0.297 β           Hospital Ghaem         34         24.3           Imam Reza         65         46.4         0.523 €         0.089 €           Shariati         31         22.1         0.523 €         0.089 €           Hashminejad         10         7.1         7.1           Work experience (Year)         0.523 €         0.089 €           0-5         63         45.0         6-11           11-15         17         12.1         0.039 €         0.855 €           11-15         17         12.1         0.039 €         0.855 €           21-25         1         0.7         0.039 €         0.855 €           Morning         24         17.1         17.1         17.1           Evening         6         4.3         0.692 €         0.046 €           Night         28         20         0.046 €         0.046 €           In circulation         82         58.6         0.046 €         0.046 €           Work experience in the special ward of COVID-19 (Month)         1-3         40         28.6           4-6         43         30.7         0.268 €         0.282 €								
Master         15         10.7           Hospital Ghaem         34         24.3           Imam Reza         65         46.4         0.523ε         0.089Ψ           Shariati         31         22.1         0.523ε         0.089Ψ           Hashminejad         10         7.1         7.1         7.1           Work experience (Year)         0.5         63         45.0         66-11         66-11         46         29.3         11-15         17         12.1         12.1         16-20         16         11.4         0.039 ε         0.855Ψ         0.855Ψ           21-25         1         0.7         26-30         2         1.4         0.039 ε         0.855Ψ           Shift type         Morning         24         17.1         0.692 ε         0.046Ψ           World type         4.3         0.692 ε         0.046Ψ         0.046Ψ           In circulation         82         58.6         0.046Ψ         0.000           Work experience in the special ward of COVID-19 (Month)         1-3         40         28.6           Work experience in the special ward of covid-19 (Month)         1-3         40         28.6           4-6         43         30.7				0.100£	0.2076			
Ghaem       34       24.3         Imam Reza       65       46.4         Shariati       31       22.1         Hashminejad       10       7.1         Work experience (Year)       0.5       63       45.0         6-11       46       29.3         11-15       17       12.1       10.039 €       0.855 €         16-20       16       11.4       0.039 €       0.855 €         21-25       1       0.7       26-30       2       1.4         Shift type       Morning       24       17.1       Evening       6       4.3       0.692 €       0.046 €         Night       28       20       0.046 €       0.046 €       0.046 €       0.046 €         In circulation       82       58.6       0.046 €       0.		15	10.7	0.100-	0.297			
Imam Reza       65       46.4       0.523 <sup>ε</sup> 0.089 <sup>ψ</sup> Shariati       31       22.1       0.523 <sup>ε</sup> 0.089 <sup>ψ</sup> Hashminejad       10       7.1       7.1         Work experience (Year)       0.5       63       45.0         6-11       46       29.3       11-15       17       12.1         16-20       16       11.4       0.039 <sup>ε</sup> 0.855 <sup>ψ</sup> 21-25       1       0.7       26-30       2       1.4         Shift type         Morning       24       17.1       24       20       0.692 <sup>ε</sup> 0.046 <sup>ψ</sup> Night       28       20       0.692 <sup>ε</sup> 0.046 <sup>ψ</sup> 0.046 <sup>ψ</sup> In circulation       82       58.6         Work experience in the special ward of COVID-19 (Month)       1-3       40       28.6         4-6       43       30.7       0.268 <sup>ε</sup> 0.282 <sup>ψ</sup> 7<								
Shariati 31 22.1 Hashminejad 10 7.1  Work experience (Year) 0-5 63 45.0 6-11 46 29.3 11-15 17 12.1 16-20 16 11.4 21-25 1 0.7 26-30 2 1.4  Shift type  Morning 24 17.1 Evening 6 4.3 Night 28 20 In circulation 82 58.6  Work experience in the special ward of COVID-19 (Month) 1-3 40 28.6 4-6 43 30.7 0.268 0.282 √ 7 57 40.7  The condition of being infected with COVID-19								
Shariati 31 22.1  Hashminejad 10 7.1  Work experience (Year) 0-5 63 45.0 6-11 46 29.3 11-15 17 12.1 16-20 16 11.4 21-25 1 0.7 26-30 2 1.4  Shift type  Morning 24 17.1  Evening 6 4.3 Night 28 20 In circulation 82 58.6  Work experience in the special ward of COVID-19 (Month) 1-3 40 28.6 4-6 43 30.7 0.268 0.282 4.7  The condition of being infected with COVID-19	Imam Reza			0 523ε	0.089¥			
Work experience (Year)         0-5       63       45.0         6-11       46       29.3         11-15       17       12.1         16-20       16       11.4       0.039 ε       0.855 ξ         21-25       1       0.7       2         26-30       2       1.4       3       3         Shift type       8       8       8       0.692 ε       0.046 ξ         Worling       24       17.1       1.1       1.2       0.692 ε       0.046 ξ       0.046 ξ         Night       28       20       0.692 ε       0.046 ξ       0.046 ξ       0.046 ξ         Night       28       20       0.692 ε       0.046 ξ       0.04				0.525				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			7.1					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					0.855¥			
16-20								
16-20 16 11.4 21-25 1 0.7 26-30 2 1.4  Shift type  Morning 24 17.1  Evening 6 4.3 0.692 ε 0.046 ε Night 28 20 In circulation 82 58.6  Work experience in the special ward of COVID-19 (Month) 1-3 40 28.6 4-6 43 30.7 0.268 ε 0.282 ε 7< The condition of being infected with COVID-19				0.0308				
26-30 2 1.4  Shift type  Morning 24 17.1  Evening 6 4.3 0.692 ε 0.046 ε  Night 28 20  In circulation 82 58.6  Work experience in the special ward of COVID-19 (Month)  1-3 40 28.6  4-6 43 30.7 0.268 ε 0.282 ε  7< 57 40.7  The condition of being infected with COVID-19				0.039				
Shift type         Morning       24       17.1         Evening       6       4.3       0.692 €       0.046 €         Night       28       20       0.692 €       0.046 €         In circulation       82       58.6         Work experience in the special ward of COVID-19 (Month)       1-3       40       28.6         4-6       43       30.7       0.268 €       0.282 €         7<								
Morning         24         17.1           Evening         6         4.3         0.692 ε         0.046 ε           Night         28         20         0.692 ε         0.046 ε           In circulation         82         58.6         58.6           Work experience in the special ward of COVID-19 (Month)         1-3         40         28.6           4-6         43         30.7         0.268 ε         0.282 ε           7<	26-30	2	1.4					
Evening 6 4.3 $0.692^{\epsilon}$ $0.046^{\psi}$ Night 28 20 $0.692^{\epsilon}$ $0.046^{\psi}$ In circulation 82 58.6 Work experience in the special ward of COVID-19 (Month) 1-3 40 28.6 4-6 43 30.7 $0.268^{\epsilon}$ $0.282^{\psi}$ 7< 57 40.7	Shift type							
Night 28 20 0.040 1								
Night 28 20 In circulation 82 58.6  Work experience in the special ward of COVID-19 (Month) 1-3 40 28.6 4-6 43 30.7 0.268 € 0.282 ¥ 7< 57 40.7  The condition of being infected with COVID-19		-		0.6928				
Work experience in the special ward of COVID-19 (Month) 1-3 40 28.6 4-6 43 30.7 0.268 $^{ε}$ 0.282 $^{Ψ}$ 7< 57 40.7 The condition of being infected with COVID-19				0.092				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		~-						
4-6 43 30.7 0.268 ε 0.282 ¥ 7< 57 40.7  The condition of being infected with COVID-19								
7< 57 40.7 The condition of being infected with COVID-19	1-3	40	28.6					
The condition of being infected with COVID-19	4-6			0.268ε	0.282¥			
	7<	57	40.7					
	The condition of being infected with COVID-19							
	Self	47	33.5		0.959¥			
Family 29 20.7 $0.304^{\epsilon}$ $0.959^{\psi}$	Family			0.2048				
Colleague 25 17.8 0.304 0.959	Colleague	25	17.8	0.304				
None 39 27.8	None	39	27.8					

 $<sup>^\</sup>epsilon$  One way ANOVA,  $^\epsilon$  Kruskal Wallis test,  $^{\epsilon_i}$  Independent t-test,  $^\beta$  Mann-Whitney U

Table 2) Descriptive statistics related to participants' self-care dimensions

Dimensions	Mean±SD	Variation range	Min-Max
Physical	35.74±4.55	31	18-49
<b>Psychological</b>	26.97±3.55	19	15-34
<b>Emotional</b>	33.19±3.72	19	21-40
Spiritual	31.98±3.88	19	20-39
Professional	40.10±4.41	25	25-50

There was a positive and significant correlation between self-care and observance of standard precautions of nurses in the intensive care unit of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences in Covid-19 pandemic (p<0.05), which reveals that the observance of standard precautions increases by increasing the self-care score of nurses. Also, there was a positive and significant correlation between physical and psychological dimensions of self-care and standard precautions (p<0.05). However, no significant correlation was seen between emotional, spiritual, and professional dimensions and observance of standard precautions of nurses (p>0.05; Table 3).

**Table 3)** Correlation of self-care and its dimensions with standard precautions of nurses

Variables	Standard precautions observance			
variables	r	p		
Self-care	0.224	0.008		
Physical dimension	0.276	0.001		
Psychological dimension	0.214	0.011		
<b>Emotional dimension</b>	0.161	0.058		
Spiritual dimension	0.081	0.342		
<b>Professional dimension</b>	0.151	0.075		

The result of simple regression showed that the variable of self-care has a positive effect on the variable of Standard precautions observance (Table 4).

Based on the regression model of the standard precautions observance variable and the self-care variable, a coefficient of determination equal to 0.041 was calculated, which shows that the self-care variable explains 4% of the changes related to the standard precautions observance by nurses in the ICU of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences hospitals during the Covid-19 pandemic (Table 5).

Table 4) Result of simple regression

Model	В	SE	β	T	р
Constant	131.29	15.10	-	8.69	0.001
Standard precautions observance	1.07	0.44	0.20	2.43	0.016

Table 5) Regression model to predict standard precautions observance of nurses in the intensive care unit

	Model	Source of change	Sum of squares	df	R	R <sup>2</sup>	F	р
		Regression	1384.66	1				
S	Simple	Residual	32290.73	138	0.203	0.041	5.91	0.016
	Simple	Total	33675.39	139				

### **Discussion**

Self-care nurses provide better quality care for patients and their families [18]. Nurses have the most important and main role in observing standard precautions because of their highest share in the

treatment and care of patients. Practice, knowledge, and acceptance of nurses in compliance with standard precautions are essential to prevent nosocomial and occupational infections [10]. The study showed that nurses in intensive care units in

critical situations such as the COVID-19 pandemic pay little attention to their self-care. However, self-care in the professional dimension is the essential dimension of self-care that receives relative attention, and self-care in the psychological dimension receives the least attention. The standard precautions in pandemic conditions of an infectious disease such as COVID-19 was not at an acceptable level, and there was a direct and positive correlation between self-care and observing standard precautions.

Sabourian et al. showed that the best self-care behavior was related to the individual's belief status, and the worst self-care behavior was related to the nutritional status of nurses, which is not consistent with the results of the present study [19]. Ahmadi et al. indicated that the mean score of self-care in the physical dimension (46.9±8.1) and mental dimension (47.7±8.0) was lower than in other dimensions of self-care. Moreover, the supportive relationships (51.6±9.1) were more than other dimensions, which these results are not consistent with the present study [20]. Jahangiri concluded that the highest selfcare was in the spiritual dimension, with an average of 31.05, followed by the communication dimension, with an average of 24.94, and the lowest self-care was related to the overall balance, with an average of 4.51. These results are not consistent with those of the present study [13]. The inconsistency of the results of these three studies with the present study can be due to the non-uniformity of the nurses' work wards and also considering the critical conditions of Covid-19 in the present study.

Differences in the dimensions of self-care in various studies with the present study can show that in different situations, nurses' attention to the dimensions of self-care is different. In the present study, the psychological dimension had the lowest self-care among other dimensions, which could be due to the difficult and stressful conditions of the COVID-19 pandemic, which psychologically affected nurses. Kong et al. addressed the importance of the psychological dimension. In this study, 36% of medical staff had below-threshold mental health disorders, 34.4% had mild disorders, 22.4% had moderate disorders, and 6.2% had severe disorders. A significant proportion of health care providers in Wuhan developed mental health disorders during the epidemic, and support for this view is essential for staff [12] and is consistent with the results of the present study. In the present study, the psychological dimension had the lowest level of care among nurses. The mean score of self-care in female participants (168.94) was higher than in males, but there was no

significant difference between different groups in the level of self-care based on sex (p=0.308). According to Ahmadi  $et\,al$ , the self-care score was 245.3±32.3 in females and 244.1±35.4 in males. Lambda test results showed that sex could significantly affect self-care, which is not consistent with the present study [20]. This difference in the results can be due to the unequal ratio of women and men in the present study. In Ahmadi  $et\,al$ .'s study, the percentage of male and female participants was almost equal.

There was no significant relationship between self-care and demographic information, except for work experience, which was significantly (p=0.039) related to self-care. Jahangiri [13] found a statistically significant relationship between marital status, the number of children, and nurses' self-care, which is inconsistent with the results of the present study. This inconsistency can be due to the different conditions of the ICU ward compared to other hospital wards. However, there was no significant relationship between age, marital status, shift type, and self-care, which is consistent with the present study.

Sabourian *et al.* revealed that sex, marital status, shift type, and level of education had a significant relationship with self-care [19], which is not consistent with the present study. Ahmadi *et al.* found that the mean score of self-care in single, married, and divorced nurses was 243.7, 246.1, and 246.7 (p=0.18). Therefore, marital status could not be significantly related to self-care with a level of 95% confidence [20], which is consistent with the present study.

The maximum and minimum standard precaution score was 20 and 3, respectively, and the average precaution observance was 13.99±2.931. These values indicate that standard precautions were not at an acceptable level. Tajabadi et al. found that the minimum score was 30, and the maximum was 43. In addition, 66% of the units had moderate performance in observing standard precautions [10]. In addition, Alshammari et al. concluded that the observance of standard precautions among nursing students is moderate [21]. Kim and Park reported standard precautions in pre-graduate nursing students. In this study, the mean of standard precautions was 4.09, which indicates that the observance of precautions among nursing students in Korea is moderate and is not in line with the present study [22]. Vera et al. indicated that the general observance of standard precautions with an average of 4.28 was very high, which is not consistent with the present study [23]. The reason for this difference in the results can be due to the different cultures of self-care among nurses in different countries, as well as the ease of access to personal protective equipment. The reason for the unacceptability of standard precautions can be due to the lack of personal protective equipment during the COVID-19 pandemic compared to other normal conditions.

Demographic information such as age, sex, history, level of education, and employment status was not significantly related to standard precautions. The shift type had a significant relationship with the observance of standard precautions (P=0.046), and the observance of standard precautions in the night shift with an average of 86.91 was more than other shifts. Tajabadi et al. examined the level of standard precautions by nurses in special wards of Sabzevar hospitals. According to the results, 74% of the participants were female, 64% were in the age group of 22-30, and 58% of the total units were married. Most people (86%) had 1-7 years of work experience, and 84% had 1-5 years of experience in the ICU ward. According to the independent t-test, there was a negative relationship between performance and age and work experience in the ICU, but it was not significant. These results are consistent with those of the present study. The mean performance scores of men and women were 35.08 and 35.10, respectively, which were not significantly different, and this result is consistent with the present study. The performance scores of married and single people were 34.62 and 35.76, respectively, so there was no significant difference between the performance of married and single people, which is consistent with the present study [10]. In the study of Alshammari et al., standard precautions were significantly higher in female students than in males, and there was a significant relationship between sex and standard precautions. These results are not consistent with those of the present study [21].

There was a significant correlation between self-care and standard precautions of nurses in the intensive care unit of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences during the COVID-19 pandemic (p<0.05). A higher score in a self-care assessment and standard precautionary assessment tools indicates better selfcare and more standard precaution. The positive value of the correlation coefficient (r=0.224) reveals that the observance of standard precautions increases by increasing the self-care score of nurses in the intensive care unit of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences. In other words, self-care has a significant effect on observing the standard precautions of nurses in the intensive care unit of hospitals of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences during the COVID-19 pandemic (p<0.05). There was a correlation between physical self-care and observance of standard precautions, so the observance of standard precautions increases with the increase of self-care in the physical dimension. Psychological self-care affected the standard precautions observance, so the standard precautions increase as psychological self-care increases. There was no correlation between emotional, spiritual, and professional self-care with standard precautions.

Our results also support the positive relationship self-care and standard precaution observance found in previous studies. Nazario et al., in their study, examined occupational risks and adherence to standard precautions. According to the findings of this study, there is a positive relationship between self-care and adherence to precautions [24]. One of the limitations of this study is that there are very few studies on the self-care of nurses, especially intensive care unit nurses. Studies have been performed on standard precautions, but there is no study based on examining the relationship between these two variables. Therefore, there were limitations to collecting documents for analysis and interpretation. Attempts were made to use relevant articles as much as possible.

Future studies are recommended to identify effective strategies for promoting self-care and standard precautions by treatment team personnel, especially nurses. Nurses' knowledge about self-care, standard precautions, their effects, and the causes of self-care and standard precautions in nurses should be examined.

The results of the study revealed that self-care and standard precaution observance are not acceptable among nurses in COVID-19 conditions. Self-care and observance of standard precautions are important to maintain the health of nurses and the need for more physically and mentally healthy personnel, and the lack of human resource in the critical situation of COVID-19. Therefore, the results of this study can help policymakers, planners, health care members, and especially nurses to plan and promote the ICU nurses health in all aspects (physical, psychological, etc.).

# **Conclusion**

Nurses in intensive care units in COVID-19 pandemic pay little attention to their self-care. Self-care in the professional dimension receives relative attention, and in the psychological dimension, receives the least attention. The standard precautions in COVID-19 pandemic is not at an acceptable level, and there is a direct and positive correlation between self-care and observing standard precautions.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors would like to thank the people who participated in this study.

Ethical Permission: Ethical considerations of the study included obtaining an ethics code from Tehran University of Medical Sciences (IR.TUMS.FNM.REC.1399.081), achieving the necessary referrals for sampling in the hospitals of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, and gaining oral consent from the participants in the study. Ensuring the samples to keep personal information confidential and observing ethical principles in publishing research findings and all stages of the study are other cases in this field.

**Conflict of interest:** The authors declared no conflict of interest.

**Authors' contributions:** Nabi Foodani M (First Author), Introduction Writer/Main Researcher/Statistical Analyst (25%); Mohamadnejad Ostad F (Second Author), Main Researcher/Discussion Writer (25%); Navab E (Third Author), Assistant Researcher (15%); Bahrampouri S (Fourth Author), Statistical Analyst (10%); Abbasi Dolatabadi Z (Fifth Author), Methodologist/Main Researcher (25%)

**Funding:** This study is a part of a student thesis to obtain a master's degree in nursing which has been accepted in 2021.

# References

- 1- Li W, Khan AN. Investigating the impacts of information overload on psychological well-being of healthcare professionals: Role of COVID-19 stressor. Inquiry. 2022; 59:00469580221109677.
- 2- Schaffer GE, Kilanowski L, Lee BE. A qualitative investigation of the impact of COVID-19 on United States' frontline health care workers and the perceived impact on their family members. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19(17):10483.
- 3- Webb S, Butler J, Williams E, Harbour K, Hammond N, Delaney A. Intensive care nurse practitioners in Australia: A description of a service model in an adult tertiary intensive care unit. Aust Crit Care. 2023;36(1):133-7.
- 4- Chen W-Q, Ling W-H, Lu C-Y, Hao Y-T, Lin Z-N, Ling L, et al. Which preventive measures might protect health care workers from SARS? BMC Public Health. 2009;9:81.
- 5- Mehraeen E, Hayati B, Saeidi S, Heydari M, Seyedalinaghi S. Self-care instructions for people not requiring hospitalization for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Arch Clin Infect Dis. 2020;15 (COVID-19):e102978.
- 6- Martínez N, Connelly CD, Pérez A, Calero P. Self-care: A concept analysis. Int J Nurs Sci. 2021;8(4):418-25.
- 7- Ross A, Touchton-Leonard K, Perez A, Wehrlen L, Kazmi N, Gibbons S. Factors that influence health-promoting self-care in registered nurses: barriers and facilitators. ANS Adv Nurs Sci. 2019;42(4):358-73.

- 8- Choi KR, Skrine Jeffers K, Cynthia Logsdon M. Nursing and the novel coronavirus: Risks and responsibilities in a global outbreak. J Adv Nurs. 2020;76(7):1486-7.
- 9- Cruz JP, Colet PC, Al-Otaibi JH, Soriano SS, Cacho GM, Cruz CP. Validity and reliability assessment of the Compliance with standard precautions scale arabic version in Saudi nursing students. J Infect Public Health. 2016;9(5):645-53.
- 10- Tajabadi A, Parsaeimehr Z, Kashani E. Evaluation of compliance with standard precautions by ICU nurses of Sabzevar Hospitals. Sci J Nurs Midwifery Paramed Facul.2018;4(2):79-91. [Persian]
- 11- Efstathiou G, Papastavrou E, Raftopoulos V, Merkouris A. Factors influencing nurses' compliance with standard precautions in order to avoid occupational exposure to microorganisms: a focus group study. BMC Nurs. 2011;10:1.
- 12- Kang L, Ma S, Chen M, Yang J, Wang Y, Li Y, et al. Impact on mental health and perceptions of psychological care among medical and nursing staff in Wuhan during the 2019 novel coronavirus disease outbreak: A cross-sectional study. Brain Behav Immun. 2020;87:11-7.
- 13- Jahangiri M. Self care strategies and its relationship with the professional quality of life of nurses working in educational hospitals affiliated to Tabriz and Sanandaj University of medical sciences in 2015. Tabriz Univ Med Sci. 2015.
- 14- Kalantar SH, Mortazavi SJ, Bagheri N, Manshadi SA, Moharrami A, Ariamloo P, et al. Prevalence of Coronavirus Disease-2019 among healthcare workers in Imam Khomeini Hospital Complex in Tehran, Iran. J Orthop Spine Trauma. 2020;6(2):30-2.
- 15- Motagh M, Djamour Y, Walter T, Wetzel H-U, Zschau J, Arabi S. Land subsidence in Mashhad Valley, northeast Iran: Results from InSAR, levelling and GPS. Geophys J Int. 2007;168(2):518-26
- 16- Irna. Mashhad also went through a red stage in terms of corona [Internet]. Tehran: Irna; 2021 [cited 2021 July 30]. Available from: https://www.irna.ir/news/83837807/. [Persian]
- 17- Kennedy A. ICN says worldwide death toll from COVID-19 among nurses estimated at 100 may be far higher [Internet]. International Council of Nurses; 2020 [cited 2021 Aug 19]. Available from: https://www.icn.ch/news/icn-saysworldwide-death-toll-covid-19-among-nurses-estimated-100-may-be-far-higher.
- 18- Chow J, Kalischuk RG. Self-care for caring practice: student nurses' perspectives. Int J Hum Caring. 2008;(3):31-7.
- 19- Sabourian Jouybari S, Mirani H, Jafar H, Motlagh F, Goudarzian A. Evaluating self-care behaviors in nurses of Mazandaran, Iran Cardiac Center in 2014. Tabari Biomed Stud Res J. 2016;2(2):36-43.
- 20-Ahmadi B, Rafiemanesh H, Shamlou R, Kor Y, Letafat Nezhad M, Mehtarpour M. Self-care behaviors and influential factors among nurses working in the hospitals of Tehran. Evid Based Health Policy Manag Econ. 2019;3(3):182-90.

- 21- Alshammar F, Cruz J, Alquwez N, Almazan J, Alsolam F, Alabdulaziz H, Felemban E. Compliance with standard precautions during clinical training of nursing students in Saudi Arabia: A multi-university study. J Infect Dev Countr. 2018; 12(11):937-45.
- 22- Kim H, Park H. Compliance with infection prevention and control practice among prospective graduates of nursing school in South Korea. Int J Environ Res Public Health.
- 2021;18(5):2373.
- 23- Vera M, Nero F. Compliance with the standard precautions: An infection control measure of nurses in Ilocos Sur. Proceed Surabaya Int Health Confer. 2019;1(1):87-98.
- 24- Nazario EG, Camponogara S, Dias GL. Occupational risks and adherence to standard precautions in intensive care nursing work: workers' perceptions. Revista Brasileira de Saúde Ocupacional. 2017;42.